

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parkil and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1400 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/922,487	08/03/2001	Christopher I. Halliday	7011		
7590 08/30/2005			EXAMINER		
Christina M. Halliday			BATES, KEVIN T		
59 Windsor Place Collegeville, PA 19426			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155		
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005	DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X	
an	

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/922,487	HALLIDAY, CHRIST	TOPHER I.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Kevin Bates	2155			

Refere the Filing of an Annual Priof						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin Bates	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv.		o final raisetion, which are	aria latar la ma			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •	- d i				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		gecteu ciairris.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendmen	+ (PT∩L-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant / anenamen	. (1 102 024).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>41-43, 45, 47, 48, 50-53, 55-56, 58, 6</u>	<u>1-64, and 71-77</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:  BHARAT BAROT						
	/ B	HARAT BAROT MARY EXAMINER				
	FDI					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: It includes the addied limitation of "on a mobile receiver" in each of the independent claims.